AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action		
UNITED STA	ATES DISTRI	CT COURT
Southern	District of	New York
Howard Effron		
V. Element 21 Golf, Inc. and Nataliya Hearn	SI	UMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
	CASE NUM	
	08	CV 0965
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)		Judge Markero
Element 21 Golf, Inc. 200 Queens Quay East, Unit 1 Toronto, Ontario	-	t 21 Golf, Inc. s Quay East, Unit 1
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and re	quired to serve on PL	AINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)
Siller Wilk LLP Stuart M. Riback 675 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017		
an answer to the complaint which is served on you won this summons on you, exclusive of the day of serve for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answers of this Court within a reasonable period of times.	ice. If you fail to do s ver that you serve on	so, judgment by default will be taken against you
J. MICHAEL McMAHON		JAN 2 9 2008



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	JUDGE MARRERO
HOWARD EFFRON,	:
Plaintiff,	: COMPLAINT
- against -	08°°CV 0965
ELEMENT 21 GOLF, INC., and NATALIYA HEARN,	08 CV 0965
Defendant.	: ·

Plaintiff Howard Effron by his attorneys Siller Wilk LLP, for his complaint herein alleges as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff Howard Effron is a citizen of the State of New York
- 2. Defendant Element 21 Golf, Inc. ("E21") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 200 Queens Quay East, Unit 1, Toronto, Ontario.
 - 4. Defendant Nataliya Hearn is a citizen of Ontario, Canada.
 - 5. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action under 28 USC § 1332.
- 6. Venue of this action is proper in this district pursuant to 28 USC § 1391(a)(2) because a substantial part of the events that gave rise to this claim occurred in this district.

FACTS

The parties' agreements

7. On March 28, 2005, Effron, E21, E21's CEO and Effron's then-partner, Larry Berk, entered into an agreement (the "3/28 Agreement") under which, *inter alia*, Effron and Berk were to

raise funds for E21. The 3/28 Agreement was negotiated and executed in Manhattan. Berk has since assigned to Effron his interest in this agreement.

- 8. The 3/28 Agreement provides, among other things, that:
- "Effron-Berk shall receive 5% of the stock of [E21] as a non-refundable retainer."
- "Effron Berk shall receive 10% of the money they raise directly or indirectly and an option for four years on 10% of the stock given for the money at the same price as paid for the stock."
- "Effron will be hired as a consultant after the money is raised at a mutually agreed fair market retainer."
- 9. Later that year, Effron entered into another agreement with E21 and its CEO, Nataliya Hearn. That agreement is dated December 31, 2005, and provides in relevant part as follows:
 - (1) "This agreement is in addition to the Agreement of 03/28/05 between Hearn, [E21] and Effron, which remains in full force."
 - (2) "Effron will have the right to join E21, when E21 has received from Effron sources of at least \$1,000,000 towards its goal of \$6,000,000. Effron will have the title of Chairman and shall receive the same remuneration as Hearn (presently set as \$175,000 per year, with \$100,000 per year paid the first two years and \$75,000 accrued. The chairman position will have to be confirmed by the funding group"
 - (4) Effron shall receive all of the normal company perks.

E21's refusal to honor its agreements

- 10. As a result of his initial efforts to obtain funding for E21, Effron brought to E21 an investor group, that invested \$770,000 in E21.
 - 11. E21 never issued to Effron the stock he was owed for his nonrefundable retainer.

Case 1:08-cv-00965-VM

- 12. Thereafter, Effron introduced to E21 an investor who was interested in investing up to \$7 million.
- 13. E21 refused to cooperate with the potential investor and refused to provide information and other cooperation that investors normally require. As a result of this deliberate stonewalling of the investor group, Effron was improperly deprived of the opportunity to obtain funding of at least \$1,000,000 for E21. Had E21 not stood in the way of Effron's fundraising efforts by refusing to cooperate with investors, he would have been able to raise at least \$1,000,000 and would have qualified to be Chairman, and would have reaped the rewards of that position as set forth in the parties' agreement.
- 14. Although Effron brought to E21 investors ready, willing and able to invest, E21 never hired Effron as a consultant, whether at a fair market price or any other price.
 - 15. Effron received no company perks at all.
 - 16. Effron was not issued warrants as required by the parties' agreements.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Contract)

- 17. Effron incorporates herein by reference the foregoing allegations.
- 18. By failing to pay Effron his nonrefundable retainer, defendants have breached the 3/28 Agreement.
- 19. By deliberately obstructing investment by the investor group Efron brought to E21, defendants have prevented Effron from enjoying the fruits of the parties' agreements, thereby breaching their contractual obligations.
 - 20. Defendants are liable to Effron for damages.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Breach of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 21. Effron incorporates herein by reference the foregoing allegations.
- 22. E21 by its actions prevented Effron from reaping the benefits of his agreement with E21 and Hearn.
 - 23. Defendants are liable to Effron for damages.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Quantum Meruit)

- 24. Effron incorporates herein by reference the foregoing allegations.
- 25. Effron provided services to defendants. Defendants knew that Effron was not providing the services gratuitously and that he expected payment.
- As an alternative to the foregoing two claims, Effron should be paid the value of his services.

WHEREFORE, Effron prays that he be awarded judgment in his favor on the foregoing claims in an amount estimated to be no less than \$3 million, together with costs, interest and attorneys fees to the extent permitted by law, and such other and further relief as seems just to the Court.

Dated: New York, New York January 24, 2008

SILLER WILK LLP

Stuart M. Riback (SMR 2443)

675 Third Avenue

By:

New York, New York 10017-5704

(212) 421-2233

Attorneys for Plaintiff Howard Effron

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filling and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

DIABITIES					
PLAINTIFFS Howard Effron		DEFENDANTS E	Element 21 Golf, Inc., and Nata	liya Hearn	
ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER Siller Wilk LLP 675 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10017 (212) 421-2233 CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE F		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Stuart M. Riback			
				and quantum meruit	2件12月7月
Has this or a similar case	e been previously filed in S	DNY at any time? No	Yes? ☐ Judge	Previously Assigned	JAN 292008
If yes, was this case Vol \square Invol. \square Dismissed. No \square Yes \square If yes, given the second		give date	& Case N	255 B 451 A 25 B 41 - 11 B 45	
(PLACE AN [x] IN ONE BOX ONLY) NATURE		OF SUIT		CADMIERS	
		FORFEITURE/PENALT	ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	[]610 AGRICULTUR		OTHER STATUTES [] 400 STATE
[] 110 INSURANCE [] 120 MARINE [] 130 MILLER ACT [] 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT [] 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT [] 151 MEDICARE ACT [] 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (EXCL VETERANS) [] 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS BENETS [] 160 STOCKHOLDERS SUITS [] 190 OTHER CONTRACT [] 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 196 FRANCHISE REAL PROPERTY [] 210 LAND CONDEMNATION [] 220 FORECLOSURE [] 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT [] 240 TORTS TO LAND [] 246 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY [] 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY	ACTIONS UNDER STATUTES CIVIL RIGHTS [] 441 VOTING [] 442 EMPLOYMENT [] 443 HOUSING	[] 362 PERSONAL INJURY- MED MALPRACTICE [] 365 PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY PERSONAL PROPERTY [] 370 OTHER FRAUD [] 371 TRUTH IN LENDING [] 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE [] 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY PRISONER PETITIONS [] 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 20 USC 2255 [] 530 HABEAS CORPUS [] 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER [] 555 CIVIL RIGHTS [] 555 PRISON CONDITION	[] 640 RR & TRUCK [] 650 AIRLINE REG [] 660 OCCUPATIOI SAFETY/HEA OTHER LABOR [] 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS [] 720 LABOR/MGMT RELATIONS [] 730 LABOR/MGMT REPORTING DISCLOSURE	3423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157 28 USC 157	REAPPORTIONMENT [] 410 ANTITRUST [] 430 BANKS & BANKING [] 450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC [] 1460 DEPORTATION [] 470 RACKETEER INFLU- ENCED & CORRUPT ORGANIZATION ACT (RICO) [] 480 CONSUMER CREDIT [] 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV [] 810 SELCITIVE SERVICE [] 850 SECURITIES/ COMMODITIES/ EXCHANGE [] 875 CUSTOMER CHALLENGE 12 USC 3410 [] 881 AGRICULTURE ACTS [] 892 ECONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT [] 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS [] 894 ENERGY ALLOCATION ACT [] 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT [] 900 APPEAL OF FEE DETERMINATION UNDER EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE [] 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES [] 890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
	A CLASS ACTION	DO YOU CLAIM	THIS CASE IS REI	ATED TO A CIVIL CASE NOV	V PENDING IN S.D.N.Y.?
UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 IF SO, STATE:			DOOKET N.	ADED.	
Check YES only if demand	ded in complaint	NOTE: Please s		f filing an explanation of why ca	

(PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY) ORIGIN	
1 Original 2a. Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 State Court Appellate Court Reopened 2b. Removed from State Court AND at least one party is a pro-se litinant	Transferred from 6 Multidistrict 7 Appeal to District (Specify District) Litigation Judge from Magistrate Judge Judgment
(PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY) BASIS OF JURISDICTION	IF DIVERSITY, INDICATE
1 II C DI AINTIEE DO II O DESCRIPTION DO DO	VERSITY CITIZENSHIP BELOW. (28 USC 1332, 1441)
CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (FOR DIVE	ERSITY CASES ONLY)
(Place an [X] in one box for Plaintiff and one box for Defendant)	,
CITIZEN OF THIS STATE PTF DEF CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A []3 [x]3 FOREIGN COUNTRY	PTF DEF INCORPORATED and PRINCIPAL PLACE []5 []5 OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE
CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE [] 2 [x] 2 INCORPORATED or PRINCIPAL PLACE [] 4 [] 4 OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE	FOREIGN NATION []6 []6
PLAINTIFF(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)	
Howard Effron 123 East 54th Street, Apt. 4D New York, New York 10022	
DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS(ES) AND COUNTY(IES)	
Element 21 Golf, Inc. 200 Queens Quay East, Unit 1 Toronto, Ontario	
Nataliya Hearn c/o Element 21 Golf, Inc. 200 Queens Quay East, Unit 1	
DEFENDANT(S) ADDRESS UNKNOWN REPRESENTATION IS HEREBY MADE THAT, AT THIS TIME, I HAVE BEEN UNABLE, WITH RESIDENCE ADDRESSES OF THE FOLLOWING DEFENDANTS:	TH REASONABLE DILIGENCE, TO ASCERTAIN THE
Check one: THIS ACTION SHOULD BE ASSIGNED TO: WHITE PL (DO NOT check either box if this a PRISONER PETITION.)	AINS FOLEY SQUARE
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD ADM	MITTED TO PRACTICE IN THIS DISTRICT
[X]	NO YES (DATE ADMITTED Mo. 08 Yr. 1986) They Bar Code # SMR2443
Magistrate Judge is to be designated by the Clerk of the Court.	
Magistrate Judge	is so Designated.
J Michael McMahon, Clerk of Court by Deputy Clerk, DATED	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT (NEW YORK SOUTHERN)	